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**ABSTRACT.** *Macaranga lamellata* (Euphorbiaceae) is a myrmecophytic species that is protected against herbivorous insects by two plant-ant taxa, *Colobopsis macarangae* (Formicinae) and *Crematogaster* spp. (Myrmicinae). Although a single *M. lamellata* tree houses one plant-ant colony of either of the two taxa, both coexist in a population of *M. lamellata* in a Bornean rainforest. To elucidate the extent of herbivory damage upon *M. lamellata* trees associated with *Colobopsis* relative to trees associated with *Crematogaster*, we counted the number of leaf galls and measured the leaf loss area chewed by leaf-chewing insects on *M. lamellata* in the forest. The occurrence of gall midges was not significantly different between the trees associated with the two plant-ants, while the degree of leaf-chewing herbivory was significantly higher on *Crematogaster*-associated trees than *Colobopsis*-associated trees. The data gathered on chewing traces observed on *Crematogaster*-associated trees indicated that most herbivory damage was caused by a phasmid species. These results suggest that the herbivory pressures and occurrences of different herbivore species differ between *Crematogaster*-associated and *Colobopsis*-associated trees within a population of *M. lamellata*.
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INTRODUCTION

Myrmecophytic plants have hollow spaces (domatia) that are utilized by their partner ants (plant-ants) as their nest sites (Beattie 1985, Davidson & McKey 1993). Plant-ants nesting in myrmecophytes can reduce herbivory of their host plants by excluding herbivores; the anti-herbivore defense mechanism via ants is called “ant-defense” (Heil & McKey 2003). This type of defensive mutualism has evolved in various taxa of both plants and ants, mainly in tropical regions (Davidson & McKey 1993, Chomicki & Renner 2015). Although meta-analyses have revealed that ant-defense of myrmecophytic species is generally highly effective (Rosumek et al. 2009, Zhang et al. 2015), there remains an insufficient number of empirical studies investigating variations of behavioral and ecological traits in interactions between plant-ants and herbivores on myrmecophyte populations in which there may be genetical, environmental and ontogenetic variation in eco-physiological traits.

In Southeast Asia, there are approximately 25 myrmecophytic species of Macaranga (Euphorbiaceae) (Davies et al. 2001). They have hollow stems used by their plant-ants as nest spaces and are usually colonized by highly species-specific ants, most of which belong to the myrmicinae genus Crematogaster (Fiala et al. 1999, Feldhaar et al. 2016). Plant-ants of Macaranga myrmecophytes feed on extra-floral nectar and food bodies produced by their host plants and usually cannot survive away from their hosts (Fiala & Maschwitz 1990, 1992). Similarly, when their plant-ants are absent, Macaranga myrmecophytes suffer heavy herbivory that is likely to increase their mortality (Fiala et al. 1989, Itioka et al. 2000). This evidence indicates that ant-defense is important for the survival of Macaranga myrmecophytes.

It is known that ant-defense varies in intensity among myrmecophytic Macaranga species associated with different plant-ant species (Itioka et al. 2000, Nomura et al. 2011). The intensity of non-ant defenses, which consist of chemical and physical mechanisms, also varies depending on the Macaranga species, and is negatively correlated among species with the intensity of ant-defense (Nomura et al. 2000, 2011).

Thus, the intensity of anti-herbivore defenses of Macaranga myrmecophytes are regarded as highly species-specific to the plant-ant species (Itioka et al. 2000, Itino & Itioka 2001, Itioka 2005), which themselves are rather species-specific to the host Macaranga species (Fiala et al. 1999, Feldhaar et al. 2016).

Previous studies on variations in anti-herbivore defenses and herbivory in Macaranga myrmecophytes have focused on interspecific differences among plants, whilst intraspecific differences (e.g., among individual plants occupied by different ants) have been poorly investigated. Although studies have explored intraspecific differences in anti-herbivore defenses of myrmecophytic Macaranga species (Murase et al. 2003, Houadria et al. 2020), these studies compared differences between trees in two different habitats where herbivore pressure and abiotic conditions differed from each other, such as primary forest and degraded forest. Few studies have demonstrated how anti-herbivore defenses differ among conspecific myrmecophyte individuals occupied by different plant-ant species in the same habitat.

The study of the intraspecific differences in anti-herbivore defenses on myrmecophytes would contribute to our understanding of ant-plant-herbivore interactions in tropical rainforests and evolutionary ecology of associations between ants and Macaranga myrmecophytes.

Macaranga lamellata has symbiotic relationships with a few Crematogaster species, as well as with the formicine ant Colobopsis macarangae (Maschwitz et al. 1996, Fiala et al. 1999). In Bornean rainforests, although a single M. lamellata tree is occupied by a single plant-ant colony of either of the two ant taxa, and both plant-ant taxa can coexist in a population of M. lamellata. Maschwitz et al. (1996) reported that both Crematogaster and Colobopsis plant-ant species patrol the surfaces of their host, and attack intruders by biting. However, their means of chemical attack and exclusion of intruders differ (Maschwitz et al. 1996), although how those differences affect the degree of herbivory on the host M. lamellata has not been examined. In the present study, we investigated herbivory damage and occurrence of herbivorous insects on M. lamellata trees associated with Crematogaster and on those associated with the Colobopsis in a Bornean rainforest.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study site
This study was conducted in Lambir Hills National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia (4°13’N, 113°59’E) from 2012 to 2014. The park is mainly covered by mixed lowland dipterocarp forest (Hazebroek & bin Abang Morshidi 2006). Mean annual temperature in the site was approximately 26°C and mean annual rainfall was approximately 2,600 mm (Kume et al. 2011). There are no clear dry seasons in a year (Kume et al. 2011).

Plants and ants
Macaranga lamellata, which grows in the understory of intact primary forests, is a small tree up to 15 m tall with large entire peltate leaves (see Electronic Supplementary Material: Fig. S1; Davies 2001). It is an obligate myrmecophytic species that has mutualistic associations with specific plant-ant colonies from the early seedling stage (i.e., from approximately 10 cm in height; Maschwitz et al. 1996, Fiala et al. 1999). Macaranga lamellata secretes extra-floral nectar on the leaf margins and produces food bodies on the abaxial surfaces of stipules and young leaves. Plant-ants feed on both extra-floral nectar and food bodies as their main food source (Fiala & Maschwitz 1992). The plant-ant workers open small entrance holes on the stems, from which they emerge to forage on the surfaces of the host plants, which they never leave (Maschwitz et al. 1996).

Previous work conducted in the study site showed that M. lamellata was associated with Colobopsis macarangae, which is known only from this host plant (Maschwitz et al. 1996), and with several undescribed Crematogaster (Decacrema) species (Maschwitz et al. 1996, Fiala et al. 1999). Colobopsis macarangae was originally placed under the genus Camponotus (Dumpert 1996). Ward et al. (2016) combined the species in the genus Colobopsis following the elevation of Colobopsis to the rank of genus from subgenus under Camponotus. Thus, the species described as Camponotus macarangae by Maschwitz et al. (1996) is called Colobopsis macarangae in this study. In the study site, approximately 80% of M. lamellata trees are occupied by Co. macarangae and the rest are occupied by Crematogaster (Decacrema) species (Maschwitz et al. 1996). Considering the taxonomic difficulty of identifying these Crematogaster species, along with the possibility that they hybridize (Feldhaar et al. 2016), we treated all Crematogaster plant-ants, which might belong to more than one species, as one group in the present study. Hereafter, we refer to M. lamellata trees housing Co. macarangae as “Colobopsis-associated trees”, and those housing Crematogaster species as “Crematogaster-associated trees”.

There is no clear difference in habitat between Colobopsis- and Crematogaster-associated trees (Maschwitz et al. 1996). Both Co. macarangae and Crematogaster plant-ants attack intruders similarly by biting, while only Co. macarangae release citral-like terpenes from their mandibular glands towards intruders (Maschwitz et al. 1996). Colobopsis macarangae lack a major worker subcaste (Dumpert 1996) and are larger than those of Crematogaster plant-ant workers are (see Electronic Supplementary Material: Table S1).

Field observation
In the field, we surveyed all the 39 undisturbed and unbranched M. lamellata trees of 0.7–4.0 m in height that we found in the study site. Each tree was observed once. Of the 39 trees, 26 were occupied by Co. macarangae and 13 by Crematogaster ants. The average number of leaves per tree were 9.5 ± 0.5 and 8.8 ± 0.4 for Colobopsis-and Crematogaster-associated trees, respectively. We refer to newly developing leaves as “young leaves” and to fully developed leaves as “mature leaves”. On every leaf of the 39 target trees, we checked in daylight whether herbivorous insects were present and whether leaves showed damage inflicted by herbivores, and then we visually measured the percentage of lost leaf area, just after we selected the trees. According to the percentage of lost leaf area, we categorized all of the surveyed leaves into three groups of different herbivory levels: 1) the group of heavily chewed leaves, on which the percentage of lost leaf area was obviously more than 20%; 2) the group of less chewed leaves, on which the percentage of lost leaf area was approximately 20% or less than 20%, but not intact; and 3) the group of intact leaves, on which no lost leaf area was observed.
In addition, based on the characteristics of some types of feeding marks, for which we identified during preliminary surveys in the study site the responsible herbivorous insects that caused the damage (Shimizu-kaya et al. 2015), we categorized all of the observed herbivory damage on the leaves into five types: 1) lepidopteran: rounded margin and regularly placed along leaf vein; 2) phasmid: angular along leaf vein; 3) orthopteran: raggedly margin or net-like; 4) unknown leaf-chewer: leaf loss with uncertain and unclear characteristics; and 5) gall type. The first four types were caused by chewing herbivores (see Electronic Supplementary Material: Fig. S2).

Galls on *M. lamellata* leaves are known to be induced by two undescribed species of Cecidomyiidae (Cecidomyiidae sp. 4 and sp. 10 in Shimizu-kaya et al. 2015). The forms of galls differ between the two species. For each of the two gall-making species, we recorded the number of galls with emergence holes and that of galls without emergence holes.

When we found herbivorous insects on the target trees, we collected and reared them in the laboratory at the study site to check whether these insects fed on *M. lamellata* or were just transitory visitors on the trees. They were provided with leaves of *M. lamellata* in a plastic case for at least one week to check their feeding behaviors. Once determined, we recorded the number of herbivores on each leaf. For two species of gall midges, we considered the number of galls to be the number of gall midges, because in both of the gall-making midge species, one gall contains one individual midge larva.

### Statistical analysis

In order to examine the effect of plan-ant taxa on occurrence of herbivorous insects, we employed generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) with number of herbivorous insects per leaf as the response variable, leaf age and plant-ant taxa as fixed effects, and tree as a random effect, using a negative binomial distribution with a log link function. GLMM was implemented using the statistical software R ver. 4.0.2 (R Core Team 2020) with package lme4 (Bates et al. 2015). We selected the best model based on Akaike’s Information Criterion scores that were obtained by comparison of the models containing all possible subsets of fixed effects and random effect.

We used Fisher’s exact-tests to examine the effect of plant-ant taxa on the frequency of the occurrence of herbivorous insects, number of three leaf types of herbivory, and number of observation times for chewing marks caused by four types of chewing herbivores, respectively. Fisher’s exact tests were also implemented using with R ver. 4.0.2.

### RESULTS

#### Galls

In total, 527 gall midges inside galls were observed on 23.1% of the *Colobopsis*-associated trees and 256 gall midges inside galls on 53.8% of all *Crematogaster*-associated trees (Table 1). Of all the gall midges found on *Colobopsis*-associated trees, 97.5% were Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 and the rest were Cecidomyiidae sp. 4. Of all the galls found on *Crematogaster*-associated trees, 96.5% were Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 and the rest were Cecidomyiidae sp. 4.

The frequency with which any gall midges were found to occur in trees was not significantly different between *Colobopsis*- and *Crematogaster*-associated trees (Fisher’s exact-test: $P = 0.208$). For Cecidomyiidae sp. 10, the average number of galls without emergence holes in a leaf was significantly higher on young leaves than on mature leaves irrespective of plant-ant taxa (GLMM: $P < 0.001$; Table 2, Fig. 1). Plant-ant taxa did not significantly affect their occurrence (GLMM: $P = 0.208$), but the difference between young and mature leaves was significantly larger on *Colobopsis*-associated trees (GLMM: $P = 0.009$). The average number of both perforated and unperforated Cecidomyiidae sp.10 galls per leaf was also significantly higher on young leaves than on mature leaves irrespective of plant-ant taxa (GLMM: $P < 0.001$). Plant-ant taxa did not significantly affect their occurrence (GLMM: $P = 0.854$).

For Cecidomyiidae sp.4, we omitted any further analyses because of their small sample size on both *Colobopsis*- and *Crematogaster*-associated trees.
Fig. 1. Density (numbers per leaf) of Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 galls without emergence holes (a) and all of Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 galls (b) on young (left) and mature (right) leaves of Macaranga lamellata saplings associated with Colobopsis macarangae plant-ants and those associated with Crematogaster spp. plant-ants. Bars indicate mean values and vertical lines indicate the standard errors. A numeral above each bar indicates the number of surveyed leaves.

Table 1. Numbers of trees or leaves on which at least one individual of each of the three herbivorous insect species were observed for Macaranga lamellata associated with two plant-ant taxa. A numeral in parentheses beside each number of trees or leaves indicates the total number of individuals of the herbivorous insect species on the whole leaves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species of insect herbivore</th>
<th>Colobopsis macarangae</th>
<th>Crematogaster spp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>YL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=26)</td>
<td>(n=51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecidomiidae sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gall without emergence hole</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gall with emergence hole</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecidomiidae sp. 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gall without emergence hole</td>
<td>5 (322)</td>
<td>7 (322)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gall with emergence hole</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td>2 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthomeria alexis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YL: young leaf, ML: mature leaf
Table 2. Results of analyses on factors affecting the occurrence of Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 galls, using generalized linear mixed models. Significant effects are shown in italics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>z value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gall without emergence hole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>-12.426</td>
<td>1.917</td>
<td>-6.481</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf age</td>
<td>-4.315</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>6.613</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant species</td>
<td>-2.885</td>
<td>2.291</td>
<td>1.259</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf age×Ant species</td>
<td>-2.303</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>-2.615</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All galls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>-7.117</td>
<td>2.123</td>
<td>-3.353</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf age</td>
<td>1.324</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>3.857</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant species</td>
<td>-0.363</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>-0.184</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf age×Ant species</td>
<td>-0.265</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>-0.417</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Proportions of three categories of leaf loss caused by chewing herbivory on young (a) and mature (b) leaves of Macaranga lamellata saplings associated with Colobopsis macarangae plant-ants (left) and Crematogaster spp. plant-ants (right). A numeral above each column indicates the number of observed leaves. An asterisk (*) indicates significant difference at $P < 0.01$ (Fisher’s exact test).

**Chewing herbivory**

One nymph of the phasmid Orthomeria alexis was the only herbivore that was observed on the target trees, besides gall midges inside their galls, throughout this study (Table 1).

On Colobopsis-associated trees, chewing marks were found on 11.8% of the observed young leaves and on 12.9% of mature leaves. On Crematogaster-associated trees, chewing marks were found on 11.5% of the observed
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Fig. 3. Frequency of occurrence of leaves with any damage inflicted by chewing herbivores of four types on young leaves (a) and mature leaves (b) on Macaranga lamellata saplings associated with Colobopsis macarangae plant-ants (left) and Crematogaster spp. plant-ants (right). A numeral above each column indicates the number of leaves that were damaged by leaf-chewers. An asterisk (*) indicates significant difference at \( P < 0.01 \) (Fisher’s exact test).

young leaves and on 13.4% of mature leaves. For young leaves, the proportions of “intact”, “less chewed” and “heavily chewed” leaves were not significantly different between Colobopsis- and Crematogaster-associated trees (Fisher’s exact-test: \( P = 1.000 \), Fig. 2a). For mature leaves, the ratio of “heavily chewed” to the other leaves on Crematogaster-associated trees was significantly higher than that on Colobopsis-associated trees (Fisher’s exact-test: \( P = 0.006 \), Fig. 2b).

The percentages of the types of chewing marks were significantly different between Colobopsis- and Crematogaster-associated trees for mature leaves, with the percentage of chewing marks of phasmids being remarkably higher on Crematogaster-associated trees than on Colobopsis-associated trees (Fisher’s exact-test: \( P = 0.004 \), Fig. 3b). Although they were not significantly different between Colobopsis- and Crematogaster-associated trees for young leaves (Fisher’s exact-test: \( P = 0.095 \), Fig. 3a), the number of chewed leaves was likely to be insufficient on both trees for getting any statistically robust results. However, the major type of chewing marks on young leaves was considerably different; all the chewing marks were categorized into phasmid type on Crematogaster-associated trees while the percentage of phasmid-type chewing marks was less than 20% on Colobopsis-associated trees. In contrast, the percentage of chewing marks of lepidopterans was considerably higher on Colobopsis-associated trees than on Crematogaster-associated trees, both upon young leaves and mature leaves. The percentage of chewing marks of orthopterans was considerably higher on Colobopsis-associated trees than on Crematogaster-associated trees for mature leaves (no chewing mark of orthopterans was observed on young leaves).
DISCUSSION

The results of this study suggest that the difference of plant-ant taxa affects both the degree of leaf chewing herbivory and the species composition of leaf-chewing insects on *M. lamellata*. *Crematogaster*-associated trees were likely to suffer more chewing herbivory damage than *Colobopsis*-associated trees (Fig. 2), which were mainly inflicted by the phasmid *O. alexis*. *Colobopsis*-associated trees seemed to escape herbivory damage by phasmid more effectively than *Crematogaster*-associated trees, although the former received herbivory damages more frequently from lepidopteran and orthopteran leaf-chewers (Fig. 3).

As for most plant-ants on other *Macaranga* myrmecophytes, stimulated by physical damages and presence of intruders on leaves, ant workers of both plant-ant taxa on *M. lamellata* become active and show aggressive behaviors against intruders (confirmed by our personal observation). Therefore, the observed difference in leaf-chewing herbivory is presumed to reflect differences in the two plant-ant taxa in how their ant workers attack, or in how they interact with each species of leaf-chewing insects. Anti-herbivore defense through aggressive behaviors by *Co. macarangae* is presumably more effective in excluding the phasmid *O. alexis* from their host trees than the method utilized by *Crematogaster* plant-ants, but less effective in excluding lepidopteran and orthopteran leaf-chewers (Fig. 3). Nymphs and adults of *O. alexis* are known to be able to circumvent *Crematogaster* plant-ants’ attacks by a series of behaviors consisting of a quick raise of their legs and rapid walking on other myrmecophytic species of *Macaranga* (Shimizu-kaya & Itioka 2015, Shimizu-kaya et al. 2015). Although such kinds of behaviors are useful to evade *Crematogaster* plant-ants, they may be insufficient to circumvent anti-herbivore defenses by *Co. macarangae*. On the other hand, some lepidopteran and orthopteran leaf-chewers may have different types of mechanisms that are effective to circumvent anti-herbivore defenses by *Co. macarangae* but not effective in circumventing the defenses by *Crematogaster* plant-ants. The details of counter-adaptation by each leaf-chewing herbivore to each of the plant-ant taxa and the consequences of those interactions requires further study.

The frequencies of leaves with heavy area loss due to leaf-chewing (Fig. 2) suggest that the total amount of leaf loss inflicted by leaf-chewing insects is higher on *Crematogaster*-associated trees than on *Colobopsis*-associated trees. We can propose two alternative, but not mutually exclusive, hypotheses on causal factors influencing this difference. The first is that *Co. macarangae* provides more intensive anti-herbivore defenses for the host plants than *Crematogaster* plant-ants. The second hypothesis is that the higher amount of leaf-chewing herbivory was caused by higher herbivory pressure imposed by the phasmid *O. alexis*, which was the most dominant leaf-chewing insect in this study, on *Crematogaster*-associated trees. Even if the intensity of anti-herbivore defenses by plant-ants is equal among individual trees, the amount of herbivory on a tree might be affected by the abundances of particular leaf-chewing insects. The relatively higher abundance of phasmid *O. alexis*, compared to the abundance of other leaf-chewing herbivores that are able to more effectively circumvent plant-ants’ attack on *Colobopsis*-associated trees than on *Crematogaster*-associated trees, might cause the higher frequency of heavy leaf area loss on *Crematogaster*-associated trees. These hypotheses remain to be tested by some in-depth analyses of the population dynamics of major leaf-chewing insects in the field, as well as those on the behavioral interactions between each ant-taxon and each leaf-chewing insect under various ecological and environmental conditions.

The observations of gall occurrence demonstrated that most of the galls were formed by Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 and that the occurrence of Cecidomyiidae sp. 4 was too low to statistically analyze. Therefore, by focusing on galls of Cecidomyiidae sp. 10, we can discuss the effect of plant-ant taxa on gall occurrence. In contrast to leaf-chewing insects, the frequency of occurrence of Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 galls was not affected by plant-ant taxa (Table 2). It is likely that the intensity of anti-herbivory defense against the gall midge is not considerably different between the two plant-ant taxa. Although we have not observed ovipositing female adults of the midge, the observed disproportionate occurrence of unperforated galls on young leaves (Table 1) and our observation of oviposition by closely-related gall
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midge species on a few other species of Macaranga myrmecophytes (personal observation by US and TI) suggests that female adults of Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 oviposit mainly on young leaves. Thus, around young (developing) leaves, workers of both of the ant taxa are presumed to equally interfere with the oviposition by Cecidomyiidae sp. 10 on M. lamellata.

Davidson & McKey (1993) hypothesized that Camponotus ants, and specifically those now classified as Colobopsis, were the first associates of Macaranga myrmecophytes and have been progressively displaced by more aggressive Crematogaster ants in south-east Asia. On the basis of this hypothesis, Maschwitz et al. (1996) speculated that, on M. lamellata, Camponotus plant-ants are being gradually replaced by Crematogaster plant-ants. As mentioned above, our results suggest that Co. macarangae exclude O. alexis from, and reduce leaf loss due to leaf-chewing herbivores on, leaves of their host plant more effectively than do Crematogaster plant-ants. This means that M. lamellata trees occupied by Co. macarangae would have an advantage over those occupied by Crematogaster plant-ants in survival rate in sites where O. alexis is abundant. Considering that plant-ants and myrmecophytic Macaranga depend on each other to survive, this advantage could be a factor counteracting the replacement of Camponotus or Colobopsis by Crematogaster, and could subsequently allow the two plant-ant taxa to coexist in a population of M. lamellata. However, because the present study focused only on young M. lamellata trees, the growth rate, mortality, and reproductive success of M. lamellata should be measured throughout the lifetime of the trees associated with both plant-ant taxa, in order to discover the environmental and ecological mechanisms that affect the coexistence of the two plant-ant taxa in a local population of their host plant, and in order to elucidate the method behind partners choice between species.
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